



### Comparison of budgets under varying scenarios

**Scenario 1 (S1), Business-as-Usual.** In this scenario, the biodiversity agenda is not yet mainstreamed thereby encountering resistance in securing additional budgets. Scenario 1 assumes that the DENR budget, consisting of all its core and non-core biodiversity bureaus, ODA funds, and locally funded projects, is faced with a budget cap or ceiling. Growth in budgets are forthcoming only through inflationary increases to account for mandatories. This scenario essentially characterizes the current budgeting practice and the challenges associated with arguing for more biodiversity spending. Other government agencies with biodiversity functions are ignored in this scenario as well as LGU budgets. The total budget for Scenario 1 is PHP 3.2 billion at baseline and PHP 58.5 for the full duration of PBSAP.

**Scenario 2 (S2), Successful Mainstreaming.** Scenario 2 sees a successful mainstreaming of biodiversity; thus, indicating contributions of other national agencies and local governments. No ODA contributions are included in this scenario. Total budget is PHP 4.3 billion at baseline.

**Scenario 3 (S3), Successful Mainstreaming Extends to Global Community.** Under this scenario, mainstreaming is successful up to the global level; thus including ODA funds. The annual increments up to 2028 are also based on inflation rates as used in Scenario 1. Total budget envelope under Scenario 3 is PHP 4.9 billion.

**Scenario 4 (S4), Successful Mainstreaming and Increased investments among Core Agencies.** This scenario looks at an increase in budgets of the DENR agencies and locally funded projects by an annual average of 10% for the duration of PBSAP implementation. Other non-core biodiversity sectors are also seen to contribute to implementation of PBSAP and ODA funding is maintained based on historical trends.